

COMP 2355 Introduction to Systems Programming

Christian Grothoff
christian@grothoff.org

<http://grothoff.org/christian/>

Today

- Templates
- Operator Overloading

Templates

- Syntactically similar to Java generics
 - Used in similar ways (containers!)
 - But implemented very differently!
- ⇒ Noticeable differences for developers!

max **for** double

```
double max(unsigned int num, double * arr)
{
    double d = arr[0];
    for (unsigned int i=1;i<num;i++)
        if (d < arr[i]) d = arr[i];
    return d;
}
```

max for int

```
int max(unsigned int num, int * arr)
{
    int d = arr[0];
    for (unsigned int i=1;i<num;i++)
        if (d < arr[i]) d = arr[i];
    return d;
}
```

max **for** float

```
float max(unsigned int num, float * arr)
{
    float d = arr[0];
    for (unsigned int i=1;i<num;i++)
        if (d < arr[i]) d = arr[i];
    return d;
}
```

max with Macros in C

```
#define make_max_func(type) \
    type max_##type(int num, type * arr) \
{ \
    unsigned int i; \
    type d = arr[0]; \
    for (i=1;i<num;i++) \
        if (d < arr[i]) d = arr[i]; \
    return d; \
}
```

max with Macros in C++

```
#define make_max_func(type) \
    type max(unsigned int num, type * arr) \
{ \
    type d = arr[0]; \
    for (unsigned int i=1;i<num;i++) \
        if (d < arr[i]) d = arr[i]; \
    return d; \
}
```


max with Templates in C++

```
template <class T>
T max(unsigned int num, T * arr)
{
    T d = arr[0];
    for (unsigned int i=1;i<num;i++)
        if (d < arr[i]) d = arr[i];
    return d;
}
```

Templates

Templates are like Macros:

- Templates must be defined in headers
- The compiler generates no code for the template

⇒ Code is only generated if the template is used

⇒ Many compile errors are generated (only) when the template is instantiated

Templates

Templates are not like Macros:

- An expanded template is **not** (necessarily) inlined into the code
- ⇒ Overhead of a function call may apply!
- ⇒ Savings in code size may apply!
- You can use overloading with templates, but not default values for arguments

Templates

- Unlike Macros, the parameters in Templates are always types
- `class T` does not imply that `T` must be a C++ class, primitive types work as well!
- `typename T` also works in ISO-C++¹

¹Read <http://blogs.msdn.com/slippman/archive/2004/08/11/212768.aspx>

Templates and structs

```
template <typename T> struct slist
{
    slist<T> * next;
    T value;
}
```

Templates and Classes

```
template <typename T> class stack {  
    private:  
        slist<T> * top;  
    public:  
        stack();  
        void push(T);  
        void pop();  
        T top();  
        ~stack();  
}
```

Providing the Implementation

```
template <class T> T stack<T>::top()
{
    if (top == NULL)
        throw "stack empty";
    return top->value;
}
```

Nested Template Instantiation

The following is problematic for the C++ compiler:

```
stack<stack<int>> s;
```

Why?

Solution

The lexer is happy with:

```
stack<stack<int> > s;
```

Java's lexer works for both variants. Compiler writers are still getting better...

Operator Overloading in C++

You can **not**:

- define new Operators (such as $+$ $>$ or $><$)
- overload “sizeof”, “.”, “.*”, “::” and “?:”
- change the precedence of operators
- change the arity of operators
- change the operation of operators on primitive types

Overloading Operations

- As simple as defining a function with appropriate argument types and return type
- One of the types must be a class (some compilers also allow enumerations)

Example

Vector operator * (const Matrix&, const Vector&)
operator is a keyword. const is not required (but makes sense in this context).

Calling Overloaded Operators

```
Vector v;
```

```
Matrix m;
```

```
Vector r1 = m * v;
```

```
Vector r2 = m.operator + (v);
```

Defining Operators (globally)

$$x \oplus y \Rightarrow \text{operator } \oplus (x, y)$$

$$\oplus x \Rightarrow \text{operator } \oplus (x)$$

$$x \oplus \Rightarrow \text{operator } \oplus (x, 0)$$

The last operator only applies for $\oplus \in \{++, --\}$.

Another Example

```
class Complex {  
    Complex operator +(Complex);  
    Complex operator -(Complex);  
    Complex operator *(Complex);  
    Complex operator /(Complex);  
}
```

Defining Operators as Member Functions

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \oplus y &\Rightarrow x.operator \oplus (y) \\
 \oplus x &\Rightarrow x.operator \oplus () \\
 x \oplus &\Rightarrow x.operator \oplus (0) \\
 x(y,z,\dots) &\Rightarrow x.operator () (y, z, \dots) \\
 x[y] &\Rightarrow x.operator [] (y) \\
 x->m &\Rightarrow (x.operator())->m
 \end{aligned}$$

The last three operators can only be overloaded using member functions.

Example: Unary Plus

```
class Complex {  
    const Complex operator +() const;  
}  
const Complex& Complex::operator+() const  
{  
    return *this;  
}
```

const is necessary to prevent something like “+v1 = v2”.

Example: Binary Plus

```
class Complex {
    const Complex operator +(const Complex&) const
}
const Complex& Complex::operator+
    (const Complex &o) const {
    return Complex(rv + o.rv, iv + o.iv);
}
```

const is necessary to prevent something like “+v1 = v2”.

Best Practice Rules

- Do **not** allocate the return value of an operator with `new`, the caller will most likely forget to do a `delete`
- `r = r + b` should be the same as `r += b`; trick:

```
T operator +(T &r1, T&r2) {  
    T temp = r1;  
    return temp += r2;  
}
```

Example: “()”

```
class Polynom {
    double operator() (double x) const;
}
double Polynom::operator() (double x) const
{
    double d = coeff[deg];
    for (int i=deg-1;i>=0;i--) d = d*x + coeff[i];
    return d;
}
```

Example: “()”

With the above definitions, one could then do:

```
Polynom p;
```

```
p(4.2);
```

to evaluate p at 4.2.

Example: “[]”

```
template <typename T>
class Vector {
    T &operator[] (int i);
}

template <typename T>
T& Vector::operator[] (int i)
{
    if ( (i<0) || (i>= len) ) error();
    return v[i];
}
```

Questions



Question

Given an overloaded operator “`[]`” that returns a row (of type `Vector`) for a `Matrix`, what will the following code do?

```
Matrix m;
```

```
m[3,4];
```


Example: Output

The C++ equivalent of Java's `toString` methods is:

```
ostream & operator << (ostream &, const T&);
```

The first argument should be returned to allow

```
cout << a << b << endl;
```

which should be read as

```
((cout << a) << b) << endl;
```

Example: Input

For input, the class needs to overload “>>”:

```
istream & operator >> (istream &, T&);
```

The first argument should be returned to allow

```
cin >> a >> b;
```

which should be read as

```
((cin >> a) >> b);
```

Questions

