# FSEM 1111 Computer Security – from a Free Software Perspective



christian@grothoff.org

#### http://grothoff.org/christian/



#### **UNIX commands**

- 1. cp
- 2. mv
- 3. rm
- 4. In



### **UNIX commands**

- 1. ping
- 2. telnet
- 3. traceroute



# **TCP/IP** Layers

- 1. Physical Network (Ethernet, PPP, ...)
- 2. Internet (ARP, IP, ICMP, ...)
- 3. Transport (UDP, TCP)
- 4. Application (DNS, HTTP, SMTP, SSH, ...)



# **SMTP** example

- 1. telnet ariel.cs.du.edu 25
- 2. MAIL FROM: sender@machine
- 3. RCPT TO: address@machine
- 4. DATA
- 5. Subject: Hello SMTP

6. .



#### P3P

- Platform for Privacy Preferences Project
- Problem statement: allow WWW-Sites to specify privacy policy precisely and formally
- $\Rightarrow$  Humans do not need to read the policy, their browers do!



### **P3P** Architecture

- P3P Advertisements
- P3P Reference File
- P3P Policy File



#### **P3P Advertisements**

How can the browser detect P3P and find more P3P information?

- Default location: http://www/W3C/p3p.xml
- HTTP header: P3P: policyref=URL
- HTML header: LINK tag



# **P3P Reference File**

- Which policy is applicable (for a given site)?
- Where is the policy stored (at which URL)?
- When does the policy expire?
- What standard (version) does the policy follow?



# **P3P Policy File**

- Who is collecting the data?
- Who may receive the data?
- Who handles disputes (about policy violations)?
- What data is being collected / accessed?
- Why is the data collected (purpose)?
- How long is the data retained?



# **P3P Compact Policy**

- Part of HTTP P3P header
- Short version of Policy file
- See Privacy textbook, page 159



Christian Grothoff

#### **Does P3P work?**



12

#### **Problems with P3P**

- P3P does not discourage excessive data retention
- Having a policy does not mean that it is designed to protect users
- Having a good policy does not mean it is actually implemented or enforced
- Having a 3rd-party for dispute resolution does not mean that it is neutral or effective



# What about reading the Privacy Policy?

- Few users bother to read privacy policies
- Even fewer will understand and use P3P
- Based on (flawed) assumptions that users care deeply about privacy for ordinary WWW use
- Fails to address to communicate need for user privacy to developers and managers
- Oversimplifies the problem of privacy



# **Reputation and Certification Systems**

- Idea: know the good and bad vendors
- $\Rightarrow$  Users will avoid bad vendors

Examples: Karma on Slashdot, ebay feedback, etc.



#### **Problems with Reputation**

- Is the ranking produced in a way that is trustworthy (not easily manipulated)?
- Good reputation determined by past behavior, not future behavior.
- $\bullet$  High reputation only attainable by large entities  $\Rightarrow$  monopolization



# **Problems with Certification**

- Certification Authority (CA) often commercially bound to certified entity
- CA has interest in process efficiency, not in optimal judgement
- Certification is costly without directly adding value to the product



#### Midterm

- 1. This will be a take-home midterm
- 2. Midterm handed out at the beginning of the next class
- 3. 20 Points UNIX Shell Programming questions
- 4. 35 Points Computer Security and Privacy questions
- 5. DUE: Thursday, 10/11/2007, 3pm
- 6. Submission format: LATEX(submit a .dvi file)



#### Questions



