

# **BTI 7261: Threat Landscape**

Christian Grothoff

BFH

3.5.2019

Cyber attacks and actors

Software vulnerabilities

Example: Protocol vulnerability

Example: Architecture vulnerability

## Part I: Cyber Attacks and Actors

# Attacker origins

# Attacker origins

- ▶ Insider
- ▶ Ex-insider (“disgruntled former employee”)
- ▶ Competitor
- ▶ Hacktivist
- ▶ Criminal
- ▶ State actor
- ▶ *Researcher*

# Attacker objectives

# Attacker objectives

- ▶ Fame
- ▶ Stealing information (business secrets, credentials)
- ▶ Modifying information (e.g. bank transactions)
- ▶ Abusing infected systems (e.g. spamming)
- ▶ Attacking other systems (origin obfuscation)
- ▶ Hiding (avoid detection, achieve long-term persistence)
- ▶ Contact command and control (C2) for instructions

# Vulnerability origins



# Vulnerability origins

- ▶ Hardware (host, network)
- ▶ Software (host, network)
- ▶ Humans
- ▶ Environment

# Attack strategies

- ▶ Large scale attack: attack a large, untargeted population. Even if the success rate is low, the absolute number of infections and the resulting revenue can be high. (“cyber crime”)
- ▶ Targeted attack: attack a few, selected users or their machines. Select high-value target first, then learn about it as much as possible for a precision strike (“Advanced persistent threat”)

# Defense strategies

# Defense strategies

- ▶ Access control (physical, logical)
- ▶ Deterrence (legal, counter-attacks, auditing, accounting)
- ▶ Redundancy
- ▶ Obfuscation
- ▶ Comprehension (simplification, transparency, education)
- ▶ Monkey wrench / havoc
- ▶ Defense-in-depth

## Part II: Software vulnerabilities

# Technical vulnerabilities

There are many types of technical vulnerabilities in various parts of an IT system:

- ▶ Misconfigured firewalls
- ▶ Hardware bugs
- ▶ Automatically executed software from CD/USB stick on old W32 systems
- ▶ etc.

The probably most important class of technical vulnerabilities are software bugs.

# Typical bugs

Software is often used to display data obtained over the network:

1. User downloads file (PDF, MP4, etc.)
2. User selects software to open file
3. Software parses file
4. Bug  $\Rightarrow$  malicious code execution

Common bugs include problems in the parsing or rendering logic, or scripting functionality supported by the document format in combination with an interpreter that is insufficiently sandboxed.

# Data and code

The central goal for an attack is to turn data into code. Memory of a process contains data and code! Thus:

- ▶ Existing code may interpret the data (intentionally or unintentionally), thereby allowing certain code sequences to be executed.
- ▶ Existing code may be caused to jump to the data (once data page is set to executable).
- ▶ Execution may be passed to another program (shell, interpreter) that will parse and run it.




## Example exploit: SQL injection

In a PHP script, hopefully far, far away:


```
SELECT (user, first_name, last_name)
FROM students
WHERE (user == '$user');
```

Input:

```
Robert'); DROP TABLE students;--
```




# Vulnerability timeline



## ZERODIUM Payout Ranges\*

LPE: Local Privilege Escalation  
MTB: Mitigation Bypass  
RCE: Remote Code Execution  
RJB: Remote Jailbreak  
SBX: Sandbox Escape  
VME: Virtual Machine Escape



\* All payout amounts are chosen at the discretion of ZERODIUM and are subject to change or cancellation without notice.

2015/11 © zerodium.com

## Part III: Example: Protocol vulnerability

## Guiding questions “Making the Theoretical Possible”

- ▶ What is the root cause of the vulnerability exploited in the attack?
- ▶ What does the attack achieve?
- ▶ Summarize the attack (how does it work?, capture every step!)
- ▶ Comment on the different “levels” of breaking a hash function (i.e. what is achieved in the attack that goes beyond finding an arbitrary collision).

# MD5: Making the Theoretical Possible

25c3, 2008

## Part IV: Example: Architecture vulnerability



## Guiding questions “SSL and the Future of Authenticity”

- ▶ What is fundamentally wrong with the current CA model?
- ▶ What is the idea of “trust agility”, and is it reasonable?
- ▶ Understand the notion of “perspectives”. Evaluate strengths and weaknesses of the perspective model.

# SSL and the Future of Authenticity

BlackHat 2011